

# Project Idea: Task Spectrum of Member Organisations



1. Idea & Aim
2. Background & Assumptions
3. Project Idea
4. Task & Next Steps



## Idea & Aim



- The ASPC represents **96** different member organisations from **35** national sport systems
- All members have a **common aim**: offering their sports an optimal training environment
- All members have **different national context** & organisational background conditions
- Aim: Establish the **different roles of different ASPC members** in the realisation of optimal training environments
- Outcome: **Better understanding among ASPC members** of their varying task spectrums



# Background & Assumption



**Divergence** - The organisational context of national elite sport systems is highly specific (Houlihan 2013; Houlihan 1997; Green & Oakley 2001a; Andersen & Ronglan 2012)

**Convergence** – The organisational elements/pillars/functions for a state of the art elite sport system needs to provide is reasonably well known (de Bosscher et al. 2006, Green & Oakley 2001)

**Development** – Our understanding of the detailed make up of the different elements/pillars/functions (like the design of state of the art training facilities/environments) is constantly improving



# Background & Assumption



## Dimensions of a training environment

1. **Infrastructure** – Appropriate access to sufficient facilities and equipment, accommodation
2. **Quality of Training** – Performance focused, state of the art training process & practice
3. **Support Services** – State of the art, continuously further developed and effectively embedded medical, sport science, life style etc. support services
4. **Atmosphere & Culture** – „winning culture“ performance team, motivation for the athletes
5. **Strategic Positioning & Role in the National Sport System of a Training Centre**  
– Coordination of Elite Sport: tasks and responsibility

(ASPC unpublished, Böhlke and Neuenschwander unpublished)



# Background & Assumption



## Conclusion

- We know the make up of a state of the art training environment
- This make up needs to be optimised for a specific sport
- (Assuming) ASPC members aspire to lead this optimisation process
- (But) ASPC members work under varying context conditions (budgets, power, brief, etc.)

**Actual role of ASPC members in the optimisation process of a training environment?**








# Project Vision



## Responsibility of different ASPC members

Dimensions of a training environment

	Core business & responsibility	Coordinating responsibility	Not responsible
Infrastructure	?		
Quality of Training			
Support Services - Medical - Training science - Lifestyle support - ...		?	
Culture & Atmosphere			
Strategic Positioning			?

## Task & Next Steps



1. Modification of the concept/idea/aspired outcome?
2. Shape details of the project
  - Level of details of the dimensions - how deep to go?
  - Data collection – electronic survey with closed answers + comment box?
3. Start with (different) European partners – F, NL, S, FIN, D?
4. Addition of profiles of members from other continents
5. Present „profiles“ at next ASPC Meeting

